IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

2019 NOV 14 PM 2: 26

§ MARY LOUIS SERAFINE, PLAINTIFF, § 88888 CAUSE NO. 1:19-CV-641-LY V. THE HON. DAVID PURYEAR, THE § § HON. BOB PEMBERTON, AND THE HON. MELISSA GOODWIN, IN THEIR 999999999 INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS JUSTICES OR FORMER JUSTICES OF THE THIRD COURT OF APPEALS AT AUSTIN, TEXAS; THE HON. KARIN CRUMP, IN HER INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS PRESIDING JUDGE § OF THE 250TH CIVIL DISTRICT COURT OF TRAVIS, COUNTY, TEXAS,

ORDER ON REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

DEFENDANTS.

Before the court are Defendants Justice David Puryear, Justice Melissa Goodwin, and Justice Robert Pemberton's ("Defendant Justices") Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint (Doc. #29) and all related briefing; Defendant Karen Crump's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(1) (Doc. #31) and all related briefing; and Plaintiff's Motion to Remand for Lack of Jurisdiction (Doc. #40) and all related briefing; The motions, responses, and replies were referred to the United States Magistrate Judge for Report and Recommendation. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72; Loc. R. W. D. Tex. Appx. C, 1(d).

The magistrate judge filed a Report and Recommendation on October 2, 2019 (Doc. #80), recommending that this court deny the motions to dismiss, grant the motion to remand, and remand the case to the 345th Judicial District Court of Travis County, Texas. Defendant Crump's

Objections to the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge were filed October 16, 2019 (Doc. #81). Plaintiff's Response in Opposition to Defendant Crump's Objections to Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge was filed October 29, 2019 (Doc. #82). In light of the objections, the court has undertaken a *de novo* review of the entire case file and finds that the Report and Recommendation should be accepted and approved for substantially the reasons stated therein.

In her objections Defendant Crump asserts that the magistrate judge misapplies the futility-exception doctrine to the arguments raised in her motion to dismiss. Crump also argues that the magistrate judge erroneous relies on federal district court opinions from the Western District of Texas. In response, Plaintiff Mary Louise Serafine argues that the magistrate judge's application of the law and analysis are proper. The court agrees with the magistrate judge's conclusion that this court lacks jurisdiction and should be remanded for a determination of whether Serafine lacks standing in state court. Therefore, the court will overrule Crump's objections.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant Crump's Objections to the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge were filed October 16, 2019 (Doc. #81) are OVERRULED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation of the United States

Magistrate Judge (Doc. #80) is APPROVED and ACCEPTED as set forth herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants Justice David Puryear, Justice Melissa Goodwin, and Justice Robert Pemberton's ("Defendant Justices") Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint (Doc. #29) is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant Karen Crump's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(1) (Doc. #31) is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff Mary Louise Serafine's request for attorney's fees is **DENIED**.

IT IS FINALLY ORDERED that the case is REMANDED to the 345th Judicial District Court of Travis County, Texas.

SIGNED this ______ day of November, 2019.

LEE **Y**EAKEL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE